

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

ml

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/748,610	12/30/2003	Robert C. Thistle	BSI-521US	7486	
7	11/29/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
Paul F. Prestia			PRONE, CHRISTOPHER D		
RatnerPrestia					
One Westlakes (Berwyn), Suite 301			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
P.O. Box 980 Valley Forge, PA 19482			3738		
			DATE MAILED: 11/29/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	A (Co			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	10/748,610	THISTLE, ROBERT C.			
omee Action Cummary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Christopher D. Prone	3738			
Period for Reply	cars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was pailure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		•			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Second 2a)    This action is FINAL.	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 3,9,17 and 23 is/are v  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,2,4-8,10-16 and 18-22 is/are rejecte  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	withdrawn from consideration d.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the construction of the construct	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/12/04 7/8/05.	4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Election Restrictions**

Applicant's election without traverse of group 1 and species A in the reply filed on 9/13/06 is acknowledged.

After further review claims 3 and 9, are withdrawn for being directed towards nonelected species B.

## Claim Objections

Claim 14 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claim 14 only recites that the outer layer is knitted, woven, or braided, which is already stated in claim 10 which it is dependent from.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

<sup>(</sup>a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/748,610

Art Unit: 3738

Claims 1, 2, 4-8, 10-16, and 18-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over United States Patent Khosravi et al. 6,322,585 B1 in view of United States Patent Schmitt 5527353.

Khosravi discloses the invention substantially as claimed being a polyester graft 812 surrounded by a stent 814. The graft is secured to the stent through d shaped fasteners, which are made from a radio-graphically differential material. However, Khosravi does not disclose the specific structure of the graft.

Schmitt teaches the use of a dual layered graph made with an outer layer of woven polyester and an inner layer of smooth ePTFE in the same field of endeavor for the purpose of providing a fluid tight prosthesis with sufficient pore size to promote tissue ingrowths and healing.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the woven outer graft structure and smooth inner layer of ePTFE taught by Schmitt with the stent graft of Khosravi in order to increase the prosthesis' flexibility, kin resistance, and to provide the inner surface with a continuously smooth surface, which is naturally anti-thrombogenic.

# Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3, 16, 19, 21, and 22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Page 4

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher D. Prone whose telephone number is (571) 272-6085. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Through Fri 8:30 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on (571) 272-4754. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Christopher D Prone Examiner Art Unit 3738

∭a CDP

> CORRINE McDERMOTT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700